

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

KK.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/806,224	06/11/2001	Hartmut Grutzediek	1477.011	4906
7590 05/19/2004			EXAMINER	
Jeff Rothenber			FOURSON III, GEORGE R	
Heslin & Rothe 5 Columbia Cir		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Albany, NY 1	2205	•	2823	
• .	•		DATE MAILED: 05/19/2004	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summan	09/806,224	GRUTZEDIEK E	T AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	1			
	George Fourson	2823	AN			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of the fill apply and will expire SIX (6) Moreover.	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered time ARANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 132)	ely. communication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Fe	phruany 2004					
	action is non-final.					
·						
closed in accordance with the practice under E			e mento io			
	x pune dudyle, 1000 C.	D. 11, 400 O.G. 210.	•			
Disposition of Claims			•			
4) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.		·			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			•			
6)⊠ Claim(s) 1-31 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement					
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	orodon roquirornone.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner		•				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		hy the Everniner				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the c						
			ED 4 4044 II			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Experimental Control of the Control o						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	animer. Note the attache	o Office Action or form P	10-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	,					
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	& 119(a)-(d) or (f)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 55 5.5.5.	3 113(a)-(d) 01 (l).				
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		Annlientien Ne	• • •			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau		received in this National	Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of		rusus.cu				
Oce the attached detailed Office action for a list (or the certified copies no	received.				
	•					
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) L Interview	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Informal Patent Application (PTC	O-152)			
Patent and Trademark Office		<u> </u>	<u> </u>			

Application/Control Number: 09/806,224

Art Unit: 2823

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. There is no support in the disclosure as originally filed of forming the implanted region without changing the doping level of a portion surrounded by the trough.

Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. There is insufficient guidance to enable one of ordinary skill in the art to practice the invention of claim 28. Note the articles cited by applicant and discussed in the response filed 2/27/04. The gaussian distribution of implanted ions would be thought to preclude such a step.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-27 and 29-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sakurai et al '022 alone or in combination with Nemoto '551.

Application/Control Number: 09/806,224

Art Unit: 2823

The rejection is maintained as stated in the paper mailed 9/29/03 and as follows.

In view of the translation of Japan '364 provided the additional implantation to obtain particular disclosed advantages, namely improved performance and preventing turnover during certain operation conditions.

However, although not taught as a preferred embodiment, Inayoshi teaches this embodiment nonetheless, and disclosed examples and preferred embodiments do not constitute a teaching away from a broader disclosure or nonpreferred embodiments. In re Susi, 169 USPQ 423 (CCPA 1971). "A known or obvious composition does not become patentable simply because it has been described as somewhat inferior to some other product for the same use." In re Gurley, 31 USPQ2d 1130, 1132 (Fed. Cir. 1994). A reference may be relied upon for all that it would have reasonably suggested to one having ordinary skill the art, including nonpreferred embodiments. Merck & Co. v. Biocraft Laboratories, 874 F.2d 804, 10 USPQ2d 1843 (Fed. Cir.), cert. denied, 493 U.S. 975 (1989). Even a teaching away from a claimed invention does not render the invention patentable. See Celeritas Technologies Ltd. v. Rockwell International Corp., 150 F.3d 1354, 1361, 47 USPQ2d 1516, 1522-23 (Fed. Cir. 1998), where the court held that the prior art anticipated the claims even though it taught away from the claimed invention. "The fact that a modem with a single carrier data signal is shown to be less than optimal does not vitiate the fact that it is disclosed." To further clarify, a prior art opinion that a claimed invention is not preferred for a particular limited purpose, does not preclude utility of the invention for that or another purpose, or even preferability of the invention for another purpose.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action.

Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group Receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2800. See MPEP 203.08.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner George Fourson whose telephone number is (571)272-1860. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri, can be reached on (571)272-1855. The fax number for this group is (571)273-0224 and the customer service number for group 2800 is 571-272-2815. Updates can be found at http://www.uspto.gov/web/info/2800.htm.

George Fourson
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2823

GFourson May 16, 2004